March 11, 2019, 1:30 p.m. - 3:30 p.m.; Chancellor's Conference Room, California Hall

Attendees:

Committee Members:

Lisa Alvarez-Cohen, Vice Provost, Academic Planning
Randy Katz, Vice Chancellor, Research
Oscar Dubón, Vice Chancellor, Equity & Inclusion
Bob Jacobsen, Dean of Undergraduate Studies, L&S
Matthew Potts, Associate Professor, ESPM, Undergraduate Council
Michael Boots, Professor, IB, Graduate Council
David Bilder, Professor, MCB
Neil McClintick, Undergraduate Student, ASUC
Holly Doremus, Professor, Law, CAPRA
Walter Wong, University Registrar
Vini Bhargava, Director, Physical & Environmental Planning
Sally McGarrahan, Associate Vice Chancellor, Facilities Services
(Absent)

Gary Gerbrandt, Graduate Student, GA Peter Glazer, Associate Professor, TDPS

Staff:

Abram Hardin, Principal Space Planner, Academic Planning Division Sarah Viducich, Space Planner, Academic Planning Division Ron Holmstrom, Space Planner, Academic Planning Division William Reichle, Interim Chief of Staff, Academic Planning Division

Agenda Item		Discussion Summary	Actions to be Taken
1.	Calder Sculpture	The Outdoor Art Subcommittee, a subcommittee of SACI,	Notify BAMPFA of SACI's
	Location Approval	recommended for SACI's approval two potential locations in the	approval
		West Crescent area of campus for the relocation of The Hawk for	
		Peace, an Alexander Calder sculpture in BAMPFA's collection that is	
		currently in storage.	
		BAMPFA has two grants totaling \$50K and has applied for a second	
		grant to cover the costs of restoring the sculpture. The sculpture will	
		remain in storage until BAMPFA has raised enough funding to	
		refinish and reinstall it on campus. Ongoing maintenance of the	
		sculpture is the responsibility of BAMPFA. The proposed locations	
		were formerly lawn but are now woodchipped, therefore the	
		sculpture placement would not interfere with lawn maintenance.	
		Location options were approved by SACI.	
2.	Active Learning	An ad hoc subcommittee of SACI, including David Bilder and Vini	 Meet with Social Welfare and
	Classrooms	Bhargava, was formed to consider potential location options for new	Physics regarding the
		active learning classrooms to address active learning needs in	potential reassignment of
		general and pressing Data Science needs in particular. Rooms are	rooms
		intended to be General Assignment classrooms designated	
		specifically for active learning classes.	
		Active learning classrooms are intended for a different kind of	
		pedagogy – 'the guide to the side' rather than 'the sage on the	
		stage' – and require a different configuration with flexible furniture,	
		more robust AV, more whiteboards, and more square footage per	
		student (approximately 27sf/student instead of the standard 18-	
		20sf/student).	

March 11, 2019, 1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.; Chancellor's Conference Room, California Hall

	The subcommittee toured nine potential locations and	
	recommend two promising options for SACI's approval: 340	
	Haviland Hall (currently used as storage by Social Welfare) and 251	
	Le Conte Hall (currently used by Physics as a departmental	
	classroom). Both would require reassignment from the occupying	
	department to the GA classroom pool. 251 Le Conte could	
	potentially be subdivided to create two classrooms, the smaller of	
	which would be assigned to Physics. Social Welfare is raising	
	money for a larger project to renew all of Haviland Hall; though	
	not specific to room 340, SACI would want to understand how the	
	reassignment of room 340 would impact Social Welfare's vision for	
	the renovation. Committee members inquired whether space	
	could still be reserved for other department uses once it's a GA	
	classroom (shared space agreements have been implemented for	
	some rooms in the past).	
	 SACI conditionally approved the reassignment of 340 Haviland and 	
	251 Le Conte pending input from the School of Social Welfare and	
	Physics.	
	SACI discussed other general classroom needs and scheduling	
	issues:	
	 The total GA classroom inventory has decreased over the 	
	past ten years despite enrollment growth so there is a	
	need for additional classrooms generally. According to	
	the Registrar, there is also an immediate need for one or	
	two additional large classrooms (200-300 seats) with	
	corresponding discussion space and a minimum of two	
	to three more classrooms in the 40-80 seat range.	
	How do we ensure that classes are scheduled in	
	appropriately sized classrooms (it is a known issue that	
	seat count is sometimes overrepresented in order to	
	obtain preferred spaces)? The Registrar's Office is	
	starting a new process in Spring 2020 using historical	
	scheduling data and the input of Deans to ensure that	
	the right classes are assigned to the right rooms; in the	
	past, it has been difficult getting timely seat use data to	
	inform these decisions.	
	Many colloquia book large auditorium spaces on a	
	weekly basis but only bring in speakers to fill the entire	
	auditorium a few times a year; how might this be	
	addressed?	
3. Campus Disabled	SACI discussed a request for space for a disabled community center	VC Dubón to obtain additional
Community Center	for staff, faculty, and students. VC Dubón, who is sponsoring the	information regarding the
Space Request	space request, expressed that this request (as well as space for the	program and funding to share
	Native American community) has the support of the Chancellor as	at the next SACI meeting
	part of her commitment to diversity and inclusion.	
	Requested space would include a large central space with flexible	
	seating and 2-3 adjoining rooms (around 200 sf each) – one a	
	distraction-free space and one office-type space. This would be	
	where members of the disabled community would come for services	

March 11, 2019, 1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.; Chancellor's Conference Room, California Hall

		T
	(e.g. path to care). Investment in the space in terms of accessible	
	furniture and technology would be necessary.	
	The campus doesn't have comparable space for another community	
	that is broadly targeted, either student or faculty-centered; would	
	this set a precedent for other community spaces?	
	The committee asked who the space would be assigned to, who	
	would fund the build-out and whether there would be a director or	
	coordinator of the space; answers to these questions were not yet	
	known and will need to be addressed at a future meeting.	
	The committee wanted to better understand how well utilized the	
	space is expected to be, particularly the large meeting space. There	
	are about 3,000 potential members of the disabled community that	
	could make use of this resource. The meeting area could potentially	
	become an accessible meeting place for general campus use when	
	not being used for community events. Space would be the only one	
	of its kind on campus that can accommodate the different needs of	
	the community (e.g. to have multiple wheelchairs in one place,	
	technology for the hearing impaired, distraction-free space, etc.).	
	The committee asked whether the space might also be used by DSP.	
	Members of the committee acknowledged that students may feel	
	isolated due to lack of spaces like this on campus but are also	
	concerned that other groups of students will make similar requests	
	that the campus does not have sufficient space to accommodate;	
	might the campus develop community space that is shared rather	
	than assigned to one particular group?	
	Student input: Having to share a space invalidates the idea of having	
	your own space that you can go to any time. Shared space might	
	increase rather than negate the sense of marginalization.	
	SACI is unable to approve the request until they have location	
	options to review. This will require a better understanding of the	
	amount of space necessary and further engagement with the	
	community to better understand their space needs (size,	
	adjacencies, etc.).	
	VPAP staff will begin to explore possible solutions/locations. VC	
	Dubón will look into potential student fee funding for a coordinator	
	of the space and will request more information from the community	
	about the expected programming and utilization of the space (how	
	will it be used, at what times, by how many people, etc?).	
	Committee members suggested that a survey of the community	
	might be taken to get a real sense of their needs.	
	SACI's charge is a practical one – to assign space. It is not the	
	purpose of this committee to affirm a value statement.	
4. Proctoring Space	VPAP staff worked with E&I and DSP to identify additional proctoring	Extend the Evans Hall MOU
Update	space on campus with the goal of 100 seats. Three locations were	
-	identified in Doe Library, Evans Hall and Hearst Gymnasium. The X-	
	Lab moved back to the Business School from the Hearst Gymnasium	
	to accommodate DSP.	
	In the Fall semester, DSP accommodated over 3,000 requests and	
	turned down 904 requests. With this additional space, DSP can add	
	150-180 accommodation opportunities per day.	
	255 255 decemmendation opportunities per day.	

March 11, 2019, 1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.; Chancellor's Conference Room, California Hall

5.	SUIP Update	Funding for Space Utilization Incentive Program will be available at	•
		the beginning of the FY19/20 semester.	
6.	SSUFIE Agreement -	SACI reviewed a SSUFIE agreement for the use of approximately 450	 Convey approval of EzNome
	EZNome	square feet of shared space in LKS (B122, B126, 330F) by EZNome, a	agreement to SSFUIE
		startup utilizing innovative genome technology developed by a	
		campus grad student.	
		The SSUFIE program provides greater oversight for use of space by	
		startups than the campus has had in the past while encouraging	
		industry/academic alliances (which are especially beneficially in	
		cases such as this which involve UCB IP).	
		EZNome SSUFIE agreement was approved by SACI.	